



...simple, easy, quick, hassle free.

Reference number of document: **ITSO/COR 2.1.3-6**

Title: Corrigendum to Version 2.1.3 of the ITSO Specification

Specification Part(s) affected by this note: TS 1000-6 (Version 2.1.3)

Source of document: **ITSO**
ITSO – Head of Technology

Change Control Details

Version	Date	Comment
1	22/12/2008	Initial Publication
2	08/01/2009	Corrected the indicator reference in Paragraph 2 from COR 5 to COR 6.

Document type: ITSO Specification Corrigendum
Document subtype: COR
Document template: ITSO 2

08/01/2009

Page 1 of 3

[ITSO_COR_2_1_3_-6_20090108_V2_1_3_Part_6.doc](#)



...simple, easy, quick, hassle free.

ITSO

Corrigendum 6 to Version 2.1.3

This Corrigendum notice identifies the corrections to the Parts as noted below:

Corrected Versions of the Specification may be identified by the indicator COR 6 which can be found on the title page under the ISBN Number.

Part 6

In Corrigendum 5 to Version 2.1.3, the following change was made. In subsequent investigation it has been found that this corrigendum was incorrectly made.

So the change made by Corrigendum 5 is reversed by this Corrigendum, number 6.

In Clause 4.5.26.2 / table 3.36, 0207/8 Common Data, RecordFormatRevision = 3.

The size of the data element is therefore corrected **to revert it to 2 bytes**. The row in the table that has been corrected is shown highlighted below in the extract from Table 3.36 of Part 6.

Table 3.36 - Common Data – RecordFormatRevision = 3.

Name	Source	Format	Size	Comment
StandardData			21	
IPE-TYP	POST	TYP	1	This element shall indicate the TYP of IPE to which a message instance refers, and also indicates the type of optional data which may be found within a message instance.
NormalPrice	POST	VALI	2	Full price for ticket (if any), currency is defined by CurrencyCode
CurrencyCode	POST	VALC	1	A 0.5 byte value, occupying bits 0 to 3, bits 4 to 7 shall be set to 0
MachineNumber	POST	HEX	4	Serial number of the terminal conducting the transaction
<i>{Rest of Table</i>				<i>Rest of Table not shown in this extract}</i>



...simple, easy, quick, hassle free.

In addition, during the same investigation it was noted that the comments column for the data element RecordFormatRevision is incorrect in relation to the usage for RecordFormatRevision where RFR is greater than 2.

The text that reads "*For messages formatted according to this version of the specification this value shall be set to 2 (two).*" is deleted from Tables 3.8 and 4.8. The relevant row of each table as it should be read is as follows:

RecordFormatRevision	POST	HEX code	1	Defines format revision of this message.
----------------------	------	-------------	---	--

~ End of Corrigendum ~